

Effects of a 20-Week High-Intensity Strength Training Program on Muscle Strength Gain and Cardiac Adaptation in Untrained Men: Protocol for a Prospective Longitudinal Study

Nicolas Pamart, Joffrey Drigny, H el ene Azambourg, Marion Remily, Maxime Macquart, Alexandre Lefevre, Kamal Lahjaily, Jean Jacques Parienti, Amelia Rocamora, Henri Guermont, Antoine Desverg ee, Pierre Ollitrault, Francois Tournoux, Eric Saloux, Herv e Normand, Emmanuel Reboursi ere, Antoine Gauthier, Amir Hodzic

Submitted to: JMIR Formative Research
on: April 05, 2023

Disclaimer:   The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.....	5
Supplementary Files.....	28
Figures	29
Figure 1.....	30
Figure 2.....	31
Figure 3.....	32



Effects of a 20-Week High-Intensity Strength Training Program on Muscle Strength Gain and Cardiac Adaptation in Untrained Men: Protocol for a Prospective Longitudinal Study

Nicolas Pamart¹ MSc; Joffrey Drigny² MD; Hélène Azambourg² MD; Marion Remily² MD; Maxime Macquart³ MSc; Alexandre Lefevre³ MSc; Kamal Lahjaily⁴ MD; Jean Jacques Parienti⁵ MD, PhD; Amelia Rocamora⁵ MSED; Henri Guermont² MD; Antoine Desvergé² MD; Pierre Ollitrault² MD; Francois Tournoux⁶ MD, PhD; Eric Saloux⁴ MD; Hervé Normand⁷ MD, PhD; Emmanuel Reboursière² MD; Antoine Gauthier^{7,3} PhD; Amir Hodzic^{2,4,7} MD, PhD

¹Inter-university Laboratory of Human Movement Science Université Jean Monnet Saint Etienne FR

²Department of Sports Medicine Normandie Université CHU Caen Normandie Caen FR

³UFR STAPS Normandie Université Caen FR

⁴Department of Cardiology Normandie Université CHU Caen Normandie Caen FR

⁵Centre de Recherche Clinique CHU Caen Normandie Caen FR

⁶Research Center of the Montreal University Hospital Montreal University Montreal CA

⁷Inserm Comete GIP Cyceron Normandie Université Caen FR

Corresponding Author:

Amir Hodzic MD, PhD

Inserm Comete

GIP Cyceron

Normandie Université

2 Rue des Rochambelles

Caen

FR

Abstract

Background: As strength sports gain popularity, there is a growing need to explore the impact of sustained strength training on cardiac biventricular structure and function, an area that has received less attention compared to the well-established physiological cardiac adaptation to endurance training.

Objective: Implementing a 20-week high-intensity strength training program to enhance maximal muscle strength and evaluate its impact on cardiac biventricular adaptation in healthy untrained men.

Methods: Twenty-seven healthy and untrained young men (22.8 ± 3.2 years old) participated in a strength training program designed to increase muscle strength. The training program involved concentric, eccentric, and isometric exercise phases, conducted over a consecutive 20-week timeframe with a frequency of three weekly training sessions. Participants were evaluated before and after 12 and 20 weeks of training through body composition analysis (bioelectrical impedance), 12-lead resting electrocardiogram, 3D transthoracic echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise testing, and muscle isokinetic dynamometry. The progression of strength training loads was guided by 1-Repetition Maximum (RM) testing during the training program.

Results: Of the initial cohort, 22 subjects completed the study protocol. No injuries were reported. The body mass index (69.8 ± 10.8 kg/m² vs. 72 ± 11 kg/m², $P=.72$) and the fat mass (15.3 ± 7.5 % vs. 16.5 ± 7 %, $P=.87$) remained unchanged after training. The strength training program led to significant gains in 1-RM exercise testing as early as 4 weeks into training for leg extension (69.6 ± 17.7 kg vs. 96.5 ± 31 kg, $P<.001$), leg curl (43.2 ± 9.7 kg vs. 52.8 ± 13.4 kg, $P<.001$), inclined press (174.1 ± 41.1 kg vs. 229.2 ± 50.4 kg, $P<.001$), butterfly (26.3 ± 6.2 kg vs. 32.5 ± 6.6 kg, $P<.001$), and curl biceps on desk (22.9 ± 5.2 kg vs. 29.6 ± 5.2 kg, $P<.001$). After 20 weeks, the 1-RM leg curl, bench press, pull-over, butterfly, leg extension, curl biceps on desk, and inclined press showed significant mean percentage gains of +40 %, +41.1 %, +50.3 %, +63.5 %, +80.1 %, +105 %, and +106 %, respectively ($P<.001$). Additionally, the isokinetic evaluation confirmed increases in maximal strength for the biceps (+9.2 Nm), triceps (+11.6 Nm), quadriceps (+46.8 Nm), and hamstrings (+25.3 Nm). In the present paper, only the training and muscular aspects are presented, the cardiac analysis will be addressed separately.

Conclusions: Our study demonstrated that a short-term high-intensity strength training program was successful in achieving

significant gains in muscle strength among previously untrained young men. We intend to use this protocol to gain a better understanding of the impact of high-intensity strength training on cardiac physiological remodeling, thereby providing new insights into the cardiac global response in strength athletes. Clinical Trial: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04187170

(JMIR Preprints 05/04/2023:47876)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.47876>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in a JMIR journal, I will be able to remove the preprint from the public domain.

Original Manuscript



Effects of a 20-Week High-Intensity Strength Training Program on Muscle Strength Gain and Cardiac Adaptation in Untrained Men: Protocol for a Prospective Longitudinal Study

Nicolas Pamart¹, Joffrey Drigny², H el ene Azambourg², Marion Remily², Maxime Macquart³, Alexandre Lefevre³, Kamal Lahjaily⁴, Jean-Jacques Parienti⁵, Am elia Rocamora⁵, Henri Guermont², Antoine Desverg ee², Pierre Ollitrault^{2,4}, Francois Tournoux⁶, Eric Saloux⁴, Herv e Normand⁷, Emmanuel Reboursi ere², Antoine Gauthier³, Amir Hodzic^{2,4,7}

¹Inter-university Laboratory of Human Movement Science (LIBM EA7424), University of Lyon, University Jean Monnet, Saint-Etienne, France

²Department of Sports Medicine, Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, CHU Caen Normandie, 14000 Caen, France

³Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, UFR STAPS, 14000 Caen, France

⁴Department of Cardiology, Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, CHU Caen Normandie, 14000, Caen, France

⁵Centre de Recherche Clinique (CRC), CHU Caen Normandie, 14000 Caen, France.

⁶Research Center of the Montreal University Hospital (CRCHUM), Montreal, QC, Canada

⁷Department of Clinical Physiology, Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, CHU de Caen Normandie, Inserm Comete, GIP Cyceron, 14000 Caen, France.

Corresponding author:

Amir Hodzic

CHU de Caen Normandie, Avenue de la C ote de Nacre, 14000 Caen, France

Phone: +33 231065503; Email: hodzic-a@chu-caen.fr

ABSTRACT

Background: As strength sports gain popularity, there is a growing need to explore the impact of sustained strength training on cardiac biventricular structure and function, an area that has received less attention compared to the well-established physiological cardiac adaptation to endurance training.

Objective: Implementing a 20-week high-intensity strength training program to enhance maximal muscle strength and evaluate its impact on cardiac biventricular adaptation in healthy untrained men.

Methods: Twenty-seven healthy and untrained young men (22.8 ± 3.2 years old) participated in a strength training program designed to increase muscle strength. The training program involved concentric, eccentric, and isometric exercise phases, conducted over a consecutive 20-week timeframe with a frequency of three weekly training sessions. Participants were evaluated before and after 12 and 20 weeks of training through body composition analysis (bioelectrical impedance), 12-lead resting electrocardiogram, 3D transthoracic echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise testing, and muscle isokinetic dynamometry. The progression of strength training loads was guided by 1-Repetition Maximum (RM) testing during the training program.

Results: Of the initial cohort, 22 subjects completed the study protocol. No injuries were reported. The body mass index (69.8 ± 10.8 kg/m² vs. 72 ± 11 kg/m², $P=.72$) and the fat mass (15.3 ± 7.5 % vs. 16.5 ± 7 %, $P=.87$) remained unchanged after training. The strength training program led to significant gains in 1-RM exercise testing as early as 4 weeks into training for leg extension (69.6 ± 17.7 kg vs. 96.5 ± 31 kg, $P<.001$), leg curl (43.2 ± 9.7 kg vs. 52.8 ± 13.4 kg, $P<.001$), inclined press (174.1 ± 41.1 kg vs. 229.2 ± 50.4 kg, $P<.001$), butterfly (26.3 ± 6.2 kg vs. 32.5 ± 6.6 kg, $P<.001$), and curl biceps on desk (22.9 ± 5.2 kg vs. 29.6 ± 5.2 kg, $P<.001$). After 20 weeks, the 1-RM leg curl, bench press, pull-over, butterfly, leg extension, curl biceps on desk, and inclined press showed significant mean percentage gains of +40 %, +41.1 %, +50.3 %, +63.5 %, +80.1 %, +105 %, and

+106 %, respectively ($P<.001$). Additionally, the isokinetic evaluation confirmed increases in maximal strength for the biceps (+9.2 Nm), triceps (+11.6 Nm), quadriceps (+46.8 Nm), and hamstrings (+25.3 Nm). In the present paper, only the training and muscular aspects are presented, the cardiac analysis will be addressed separately.

Conclusions: Our study demonstrated that a short-term high-intensity strength training program was successful in achieving significant gains in muscle strength among previously untrained young men. We intend to use this protocol to gain a better understanding of the impact of high-intensity strength training on cardiac physiological remodeling, thereby providing new insights into the cardiac global response in strength athletes.

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04187170

Keywords: Strength training; weightlifting; untrained population; muscle strength gain; sports physiology; athlete's heart; isokinetic dynamometry, actimetry.

INTRODUCTION

The physiological characteristics of the athletes' heart in strength disciplines have gained significant attention in recent years, challenging Morganroth's hypothesis that athletes in endurance or resistance sports have divergent cardiac remodeling phenotypes [1]. According to this postulate, endurance athletes typically exhibit eccentric left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy as a result of an increased exercise-induced cardiac preload. In contrast, the authors have reported a concentric LV hypertrophic pattern in resistance-trained athletes as an expected chronic myocardial adaptation to acute repetitive increases in LV parietal stress due to greater cardiac afterload generated by high-intensity strength training [2,3]. Experimental observations in humans have highlighted the critical role of heart-lung interaction during submaximal resistance exercise performed with a brief Valsalva maneuver, preventing an acute increase in LV systolic wall stress despite significant augmentation in arterial blood pressure [4]. These observations have been confirmed on a larger scale, as demonstrated by the meta-analysis published by Utomi et al. [5], which showed that both endurance and strength athletes present a similar pattern of LV eccentric hypertrophy. However, LV dilatation and mass increment were less pronounced in strength athletes than in endurance athletes. Nonetheless, generalizing the LV remodeling phenotype in strength athletes remains difficult, as it could be heterogeneous between diverse strength disciplines that imply various degrees of static and dynamic loads and intensity imposed during resistance training [6]. Furthermore, the potential use of anabolic androgenic steroids among strength athletes can lead to maladaptive LV concentric hypertrophy and should be screened when interpreting cardiac adaptations in strength athletes [7,8].

The right ventricular (RV) response to resistance training has not been extensively investigated, and the available data are limited. One possible reason for this is the technical challenges of accurately imaging the complex RV structure using conventional echocardiography [9], which is the preferred imaging modality for studying an athlete's heart. Cross-sectional studies utilizing novel techniques such as three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography have demonstrated that RV enlargement is more prevalent in endurance athletes compared to strength athletes. Endurance training was predictive of RV dilatation, as well as the duration of the training, pulmonary artery systolic pressure, and left ventricular (LV) stroke volume [10]. More recently, D'Ascenzi et al. [11] confirmed in a large population of Olympic athletes that balanced biventricular remodeling assessed using cardiac magnetic resonance imaging seemed to be a constant characteristic of the athlete's heart, and does

not appear to be influenced by the sports category. Yet, previous longitudinal studies examining the RV response to strength training programs have yielded contradictory results. Observations made among pre-trained athletes with a history of chronic high-intensity resistance training using two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography suggested that 12 weeks of American-style football competition did not involve RV morphological nor functional changes, despite combined sustained endurance and resistance training loads [12]. On the other hand, American-style football inter-seasonal training was found to be associated with proportionate biventricular enlargement among university athletes, regardless of field position, as analyzed using 3D echocardiography [13]. Furthermore, Spence *et al.* [14] failed to demonstrate significant cardiac morphologic changes in a small group of untrained, healthy young subjects following 24 weeks of resistance training, although slight augmentations in RV mass and end-diastolic volume were observed. More recently, Scharf and collaborators [15] demonstrated that 22 weeks of high-intensity resistance training was sufficient to induce small but significant biventricular remodeling in untrained, middle-aged men. However, the authors did not evaluate the intensity and efficiency of training by muscular testing which may raise questions about the impact of the training program on cardiac response. Thus, data from the literature obtained from various populations, training programs, and imaging modalities do not currently allow for easy prediction of the physiological biventricular cardiac response in strength-trained athletes. Further research is necessary to improve our understanding of the RV response to resistance training and its implications for athletic performance and health.

AIMS:

This study aims to investigate the cardiac biventricular adaptations to prolonged high-intensity resistance training in untrained young healthy men.

Our 3 primary objectives were 1) to administer a high-intensity resistance exercise program for the upper and lower limbs that will allow for an increase in maximal muscle strength over 20 weeks of training in an untrained population; 2) to objectively quantify the muscle strength gain following 12 and 20 weeks of training; 3) to analyze the changes in right and left ventricular volumes and functions during physical training using 2D and 3D echocardiography.

Additionally, a secondary objective was to evaluate the changes in the circadian rhythm of the sleep-wake cycle via actimetry recordings before and after the first 12 weeks of strength training.

METHODS

Study design

We conducted a non-randomized controlled study to analyze the effect of 20 weeks of high-intensity strength exercise program on muscle strength gain, cardiac response, aerobic fitness, and chronobiology in a group of healthy untrained young men. Prior to the beginning of the strength training program, all participants underwent clinical examination, body composition measurements, electrocardiogram (ECG), transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), and isokinetic dynamometry, which were repeated after 12 and 20 weeks of strength training. A determination of maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2peak}$) by cardiopulmonary exercise testing was conducted before and after 20 weeks of strength training. Additionally, actimetry recordings were obtained to evaluate the circadian rhythm of the sleep-wake cycle before and after the initial 12 weeks of strength training. The study design is illustrated in Figure 1. Study screening and baseline evaluations were conducted on all participants within 8 weeks before the initiation of the strength training program. To minimize the effect of detraining, the 20-week evaluation was completed within 2 weeks after the end of the training program. Participants were instructed to abstain from exercise for 24 hours prior to data collection. TTE (with ECG and impedancemetry), $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$, and isokinetic evaluations were not carried out on the same day to avoid interference between the tests, with the exception of $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ and isokinetic analysis for the upper limbs, which could be completed on the same day.

Study population

The study enrolled 27 healthy male volunteers aged between 18 and 40 years old. The upper age limit was established to reduce the occurrence of unknown cardiovascular disease among the study participants. To ensure an appropriate response to short-term training, only male subjects were recruited as they are known to exhibit a greater exercise-induced cardiac response than women [16–19]. The participants were free of known cardiovascular, liver, renal, respiratory, and metabolic disease, were not taking any prescribed medication, were nonsmokers, were not hypertensive or diabetic, and had a body mass index $< 35 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. None of the participants had engaged in more than 75 minutes of sustained physical training (endurance or strength training) per week in the three years preceding the study. Participants presenting anomalies during the medical, ECG, or TTE examinations were excluded. None of the participants reported the use of drugs or doping products.

Strength training program

The strength training program was developed in collaboration with the Departments of Sports Medicine and Sports Sciences at the University of Caen Normandy. The program involved three training sessions per week for a duration of 20 weeks, from January to June 2022, and was conducted in a dedicated weightlifting room equipped for the study protocol.

The training sessions were supervised by sports science graduates and were limited to a maximum of fifteen participants at the same time. The weightlifting room was dedicated to the protocol every evening of the week from 6 pm to 10 pm. The program utilized a combination of concentric, eccentric, and isometric exercise phases, intending to develop maximal strength in a short-term period. To achieve the desired strength gains, the program applied exercises performed at $>70\%$ of the participant's one-repetition maximum (1-RM). This type of program has previously demonstrated significant increases in muscle strength after only 8 and 12 weeks of training [20]. To monitor progress, strength exercise intensity was periodically evaluated for each exercise throughout the 20-week training period (Figure 2). Participants were required to fill out a training log to track their progress. Each training session consisted of a circuit training of exercises targeting the muscle groups of the upper and lower limbs, as well as the trunk (Table 1). The strength exercises were repeated for each phase, with the sequence increasing in intensity every two sessions.

The concentric phase involved 8 repetitions (reps) at 70% of 1-RM, followed by 6 reps at 80% of 1-

RM, 3 reps at 90% of 1-RM, and 2 reps at 90% of 1-RM.

The eccentric phase involved 4 sets of 6 reps at 90% of 1-RM, followed by 3 sets of 4 eccentric reps at 100% of 1-RM and 2 concentric reps at 90% of 1-RM, 3 sets of 3 eccentric reps at 110% of 1-RM and 6 concentric reps at 80% of 1-RM, 3 sets of 3 eccentric reps at 120% of 1-RM and 8 concentric reps at 70% of 1-RM, and 3 sets of 2 eccentric reps at 130% of 1-RM and 10 concentric and explosive reps at 50% of 1-RM.

The isometric phase involved 5 sets of 8 stato-dynamic reps at 50% of 1-RM, followed by 5 sets of 4 stato-dynamic reps at 50% of 1-RM and 1 rep in 20 seconds total isometric at 80% of 1-RM, 5 sets with 1 rep in 20 seconds total isometric and 4 concentric and explosive reps at 80% of 1-RM, and 5 sets with 2 reps in maximal isometric during 6 seconds and 6 concentric and explosive reps to 80% of 1-RM.

Each training session began with a 10-minute warm-up, consisting of 5 minutes of joint warm-up followed by 5 minutes of global training circuit for upper and lower limbs. Participants were instructed not to engage in any other physical training sessions outside of those specified in the study protocol, including recreational sports.

Table 1: Muscle group-specific strength training program

Exercise	Session 1	Session 2	Session 3
Quadriceps	Leg extension	Inclined press	Leg extension
Hamstring	Leg curl	Inclined press	Leg curl
Biceps	Vertical pull	Curly biceps on desk	Vertical pull
Triceps	Bench press	Pull over	Bench press
Pectorals	Bench press	Butterfly	Bench press
Dorsal	Vertical pull	Pull over	Vertical pull

Clinical evaluation

Weight, body composition, 12-lead ECG, heart rate (HR), and arterial blood pressure (BP) were collected at each protocol's time points. Resting ECG (Schiller Cardiovit AT-102 G2), HR, and BP were measured after ten minutes of quiet rest in a supine position. BP was averaged on duplicate successive measurements assessed using a Dynamap V100 automatic pressure cuff (GE Medical, Freiburg, Germany). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as follows: weight (kg)/height (m)². The body surface area was calculated using the formula of Dubois and Dubois [21]. The body composition was measured using an mBCA 525 impedance meter (SECA, Hamburg, Germany) in the supine position as previously validated [22].

Muscle strength measurement

We used a Con-Trex® isokinetic dynamometer (Con-Trex MJ; Dübendorf, Switzerland) for measuring upper and lower limbs muscle strength. Isokinetic testing is considered a gold standard for measuring maximal muscle strength [23], and a valid and reliable method for assessing upper and lower limbs muscle strength [24,25]. Also, isokinetic testing is appropriate for assessing the change in maximal strength after a resistance training program [26]. For both the upper and lower, the studied variables were the maximal peak torque (in Newton.meter, Nm) and the peak torques normalized to body weight (Nm/kg) assessed with a mechanical flat scale (Seca 750, Hamburg, Germany) which are the most reliable parameters [27]. Both dominant and non-dominant sides were

tested independently [28]. A coefficient of variation of less than 10% in the maximal peak torques was mandatory to classify the effort as maximal [29].

For lower limbs, the knee flexors and extensors were tested. The testing apparatus was set up as described in the manufacturer's owner manual, and subjects were positioned in the seated position for knee flexion/extension testing, the back seat fixed at 85° of hip flexion, with hands and back stabilization [30]. The lateral condyle was aligned with the dynamometer's axis. The range of motion was set at 70°, from 90° to 20° of knee flexion, with 0° corresponding to full knee extension. All participants had a familiarization set of submaximal repetitions for all conditions. Data were collected from a first set of 4 maximal repetitions at 60°.s-1 in the concentric mode and then a second set of 4 maximal repetitions at 240°.s-1 in the concentric mode with constant verbal stimulation [31]. At all assessments, the subject's legs were passively weighted to provide gravity compensation data, and corrections were incorporated [32]. The test-retest reliability of the Con-Trex® isokinetic dynamometer for the knee joint is considered excellent with intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) from 0.98 to 0.99 for the measurement of maximal strength of knee flexor and extensors [33]. Regarding the sensitivity to change of isokinetic testing, the smallest real difference for the maximal concentric strength of knee flexors and extensors are 22.75 Nm and 15.45 Nm, respectively, corresponding to 17.95% and 19.47% [25].

For upper limbs, the elbow flexors and extensors were tested. The testing apparatus was set up as described in the manufacturer's owner manual, and subjects were positioned in the seated position for elbow flexion/extension testing, with the forearm in the neutral position [34]. The lateral condyle was aligned with the dynamometer's axis. The range of motion was set at 90°, from 110° to 20° of elbow flexion, with 0° corresponding to full elbow extension [35]. All participants had a familiarization set of submaximal repetitions for all conditions. Data were collected from a first set of 3 maximal repetitions at 60°.s-1 in the concentric mode and then a second set of 4 maximal repetitions at 150°.s-1 in the concentric mode with constant verbal stimulation. At all assessments, the subject's legs were passively weighted to provide gravity compensation data, and corrections were incorporated. The test-retest reliability of isokinetic testing is considered good-to-excellent with ICCs from 0.83 to 0.91 for the measurement of maximal strength of elbow flexors and extensors. ICC for the measurement of maximal strength of knee flexor and extensors ranged from 0.83 to 0.91 [36]. Figure 3 shows the set up for isokinetic testing of the knee and the elbow flexors and extensors performed in our protocol.

Echocardiographic analysis

The echocardiographic evaluation was conducted according to the current guidelines [37,38] using a commercially available echocardiographic system Philips Epiq 7, equipped with an X5-1 xMATRIX-array transducer. The examination was performed at rest in the left lateral decubitus position using a standardized echocardiographic protocol from the parasternal (long- and short-axis) and apical (4-, 3- and 2-chamber) views. All echocardiographic measurements acquired during a brief apnea were stored digitally for offline data analysis, to be performed blinded to the study time point using the IntelliSpace QLAB software (Philips Medical Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). LV and RV diameters, wall thickness, and aortic sinus dimensions were assessed from B-mode acquisitions. Left and right atrial volumes were estimated using the area-length method in apical views [37]. LV and RV diastolic functions were analyzed using pulsed Doppler and Tissue Doppler indices obtained as the average value of three consecutive cardiac cycles [38,39]. LV relaxation was evaluated from color M-mode mitral flow propagation velocity [40,41]. Ventricular and atrial deformations were based on speckle-tracking 2D analysis. Real-time 3D full-volume acquisitions were performed for the assessment of LV and RV volumes and function, and LV mass [37].

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET)

All participants performed an incremental step-wise exercise test on an electronically braked

ergometer (Ergoline, Ergoline GmbH, Germany), with continuous HR and 12-lead ECG monitoring. CPET protocol consisted of 1 min at rest in the sitting position, followed by a 3 min warm-up at a load of 50 watts. The workload was increased by 30 watts every 3 min until exhaustion despite verbal encouragement. BP was measured every 2 min using a manual blood pressure cuff. Respiratory gas analysis was performed on a breath-by-breath basis using the Medisoft gas analyzer (ExpAir Soft, Dinant, Belgium), and according to previously validated methods [42]. Before and following each test, the system was calibrated according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following parameters were determined by two trained and independent operators: maximum oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$), first ventilatory threshold, and second ventilatory threshold. Exercise capacity was assessed based on $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$, which was determined as the average of values obtained during the final 20s of exercise. A respiratory exchange ratio greater than 1.1 and HR at peak exercise greater than 85% estimated maximal HR were used to evaluate test adequacy measures indexed to body weight.

Actimetry recording

For each participant, the sleep-wake cycle was recorded continuously using the Motion Watch 8 wrist-worn triaxial actigraph (CamNTEch Ltd, Cambridge, UK) over a 7-day period before and after 12 weeks of strength training. The actimeter was placed on the non-dominant wrist to endure no modification to the subject's habits. The actigraph was worn every day and was only removed in case of prolonged immersion. Actigraphy recording was edited with the information listed in the subjective sleep diaries. The diaries were used to record the start and end (date, time) of night-time sleep and daytime naps. The sleep diary was used to complement the actigraphy to determine when participants were awake and when they were asleep. All records were scored as awake unless: 1) the sleep diary indicated that the participant was lying down attempting sleep, and 2) the activity counts from the monitor were sufficiently low to indicate that the participant was immobile. When these two conditions were met simultaneously, time was scored as sleep. Data recorded by the Actiwatch were downloaded and analyzed using the Motion Watch Motion Ware software (Cambridge Neurotechnology Ltd., Cambridge England) equipped with the NPCRA (No Parametric Circadians Rhythms Analysis) tool in 5-s epochs. The NPCRA function applies on the set of days determined by a no-linear analysis, in order to assess the activity-rest cycle. After this, the software calculates the activity-rest rhythm parameters, which are the activity during the 5 least active hours (L5), the activity on the 10 most active hours (M10), and the absolute amplitude of rhythm (difference between M10 and L5), expressed in movement. The analysis of the rhythmicity of activity-rest cycle is described by the amplitude of the cycle (corresponding to half of the total variability of a rhythm, half of the difference between peak and trough values, in mvts/min) by the acrophase (corresponding to the peak of the variation of this rhythm with respect to a reference phase, in hours), and by the MESOR (corresponding to the adjusted of the rhythm for a given period, the average of the values per time unit, in mvts/min). Inter-day stability; intra-day stability; and relative amplitude were also analyzed. Parameters of interest for the sleep analysis were bedtime (h:min), get-up time (h:min), time in bed (TIB, h:min), sleep latency (min), total sleep time (TST, h:min), and sleep efficiency (%) defined as $TST/TIB \times 100$. Nights from Monday to Friday were considered as weeknights and nights from Friday to Monday as week-ends nights.

Statistical analysis

According to previously published data by our team demonstrating a significant seasonal training RV end-diastolic volume enlargement ($d = 7\text{ml/m}^2$) among 15 American-style football competitive linemen players (being considered as strength athletes) using 3D echocardiography [13], we estimated with 80% power and a two-tailed alpha of 0.05 that a minimum of 25 participants were required in our protocol. Participants were included in the final analysis if they performed more than 90% of the training sessions. Estimating a 10% loss of sight, 27 subjects were required in our study. Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium). The

normality of the distribution was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare time effects and the Tukey-Kramer posthoc test was used to compare differences between each evaluation. Prior to the ANOVA, Levene's test for equality of variances was performed.

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the French research ethics committee (EUDRACT: 2019-A01235-52). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. All the collected data were anonymous. A compensatory allowance of one hundred and fifty euros was provided for all participants, subject to their participation in the entirety of the study.

RESULTS

Overview

Twenty-two participants completed the protocol and were included in the final analysis. Among these 22 participants, 20 subjects completed the 60 training sessions provided by the study protocol, and 2 subjects completed only 58 sessions. One participant was excluded early for a medical reason due to the discovery of an unknown Arnold-Chari syndrome preventing him from continuing high-intensity strength training. Four participants were excluded after the intermediate evaluation due to loss of sight. No musculoskeletal injuries have been declared during the entire strength training protocol.

Anthropometric data

The mean age of our population was 22.8 ± 3.2 years old. The mean height and weight were 178 ± 6 cm and 69.3 ± 10.4 kg, respectively. The BMI was in a normal range and remained stable. Only two participants had a BMI between 25 kg/m^2 to 30 kg/m^2 . None of the participants presented a BMI $< 18 \text{ kg/m}^2$. As shown in Table 2, the body composition variables (body fat and lean body mass) remained unchanged over the following period.

Variable	Pre-training	12-week training	20-week training	Interaction
Weight (kg)	69.8 ± 10.8	72.1 ± 10.1	72 ± 11	$P=.72$
Body mass index (kg/m^2)	22.1 ± 3	22.8 ± 2.7	22.8 ± 3	$P=.66$
Body fat mass (kg)	11.3 ± 6.8	11.7 ± 7	12.4 ± 6.8	$P=.87$
Body fat mass (%)	15.3 ± 7.5	15.6 ± 7.8	16.5 ± 7	$P=.87$
Lean body mass (kg)	58.5 ± 6.1	60.4 ± 7	59.6 ± 6.5	$P=.63$
Lean body mass (%)	84.7 ± 7.5	84.4 ± 7.8	83.5 ± 7	$P=.87$

Table 2: Anthropometric data

Muscular effects

The muscle gain assessment using isokinetic testing is shown in Table 3. For the lower limbs, significant improvements in muscle strength were observed as early as 12 weeks of strength training for both the quadriceps (mean $\Delta_{0-12\text{wks}}$ were $+20.5\%$ and $+20.2\%$ for the right and left quadriceps, respectively) and the hamstrings (mean $\Delta_{0-12\text{wks}}$ were $+16.6\%$ and $+18.8\%$ for the right and left hamstrings, respectively) compared to the pre-training evaluation. After 20 weeks of training, the mean percentage of muscular gain was close to $+28\%$ for all tested muscles of the lower limbs.

Between 12 weeks and 20 weeks of training, the muscle strength tended to increase without reaching a significance (for the quadriceps mean $\Delta_{12-20\text{wks}}$ was +7.25% and for the hamstrings mean $\Delta_{12-20\text{wks}}$ was +9.05%). For the biceps and triceps strength, no significant differences were found after 12 weeks of training (Table 3). However, at the end of the 20-week training program, the mean strength of the triceps increased significantly by +21.6% for the right side and +24.3% for the left side. Concerning the biceps strength, the mean strength was significantly improved by +18.2% for the right side. The left biceps strength increased by +16.9% ($P=.1$).

Table 3: Muscle strength gain assessment using isokinetic dynamometry

Variable	Pre-training	12-week training	20-week training	Interaction
Right biceps (Nm)	51.1 ± 12.4	59.1 ± 13.1	60.4 ± 9.7*	<i>P</i>=.023
Left biceps (Nm)	53.2 ± 10.8	57.3 ± 14.3	62.2 ± 15.6	<i>P</i> =.1
Right triceps (Nm)	50 ± 12.1	57.5 ± 12.4	60.8 ± 9.2*	<i>P</i>=.008
Left triceps (Nm)	51.5 ± 10.9	59.9 ± 12.3	64 ± 12.7*	<i>P</i>=.003
Right quadriceps (Nm)	160.3 ± 34	193.1 ± 26.9*	207.3 ± 42.3*	<i>P</i><.001
Left quadriceps (Nm)	162.2 ± 35.9	194.9 ± 38.1*	208.8 ± 45.1*	<i>P</i>=.001
Right Hamstring (Nm)	90 ± 21.4	104.9 ± 22.7*	115.5 ± 28.2*	<i>P</i>=.004
Left Hamstring (Nm)	88.6 ± 20.1	105.3 ± 22.1*	113.7 ± 24.3*	<i>P</i>=.001

Nm: Newton-metre. * P <.05 significantly different from pre-training (ANOVA)

The kinetics of the 1-RM evaluations, presented in Table 4, confirmed the efficiency of the strength-training program with highly significant improvements after 20 weeks compared to baseline (+40% for the 1-RM leg curl, +41.1% for the 1-RM bench press, +50.3% for the 1-RM pull-over, +63.5% for the 1-RM butter fly, +80.1% for the 1-RM leg extension, +105% for the 1-RM curl biceps on desk, and + 106% for the 1-RM inclined press). Significant differences were observed as early as 4 weeks of training for the 1-RM testing, except for the 1-RM bench press and pull over which were significantly improved after 8 weeks of training (Table 4).

Table 4: Changes in the 1-RM assessment during the strength training program

Variable	Pre-training	4-week training	8-week training	12-week training	20-week training	Interaction
1-RM Leg-extension (kg)	69.6±17.7 [#]	96.5±31.9*	102.9±21.6	110.9±22.4*	125.4±24.6*	<i>P</i><.001
1-RM Leg-curl (kg)	43.2±9.7 [#]	52.8±13.4*	54.2±10.5*	56.8±10.6*	60.5±12*	<i>P</i><.001
1-RM Bench press (kg)	54.8±11.8 [#]	61.5±12.5	68.2±13.5*	72.4±13.2*	77.3±14.2*	<i>P</i><.001
1-RM Inclined press (kg)	174.1±41.1	229.2±50.4*	278.8±62.1	304±63.8*	358±92.7*	<i>P</i><.001
1-RM Butterfly (kg)	26.3±6.2 [#]	32.5±6.6 ^{#*}	37.8±6.9*	39.7±6.9*	43±7.8*	<i>P</i><.001
1-RM Curl biceps on desk (kg)	22.9±5.2 [#]	29.6±5.2 ^{#*}	35.9±6.9*	39.8±7.2*	47±7.9 ^{#*}	<i>P</i><.001
1-RM Pull-over (kg)	38±9.7 [#]	43.8±8.9	47.7±8.4*	51.7±9.4*	57.1±15*	<i>P</i><.001

* P <.05 different from 1-RM at pre-training, [#] P <.05 different from 1-RM at 12-week training (ANOVA)

Please take note that the evaluation of the strength training program's effects on cardiac function, aerobic fitness, and actimetry recordings will be analyzed and presented separately.

DISCUSSION

Our study protocol was designed to evaluate the physiological effects of a prolonged, high-intensity 20-week resistance-training program on untrained male subjects. This study aimed to describe the relationship between muscular and cardiac responses using isokinetic measures of muscle strength gain and 3D echocardiographic assessment of biventricular cardiac adaptation. The novelty of our approach was to establish the validity of an innovative strength-training program meeting the conditions of duration, intensity, and tolerance, which allowed us to demonstrate its effectiveness in increasing muscle strength in both lower and upper limbs. This is a prerequisite for interpreting cardiovascular adaptation to strength training.

Regular resistance training, either coupled with an endurance exercise program or not, has become an important factor in promoting public health due to its proven beneficial effects on the improvement and maintenance of physical fitness and cardiovascular health in adults with or without chronic diseases or disabilities [43–46]. On the other hand, the increasing popularity of sustained heavy weightlifting training programs has prompted the scientific community to better understand cardiovascular acute and chronic responses to heavy strength exercise, which is responsible for extreme but transitory elevation of cardiac afterloads, and to analyze the cardiac phenotype observed in trained weightlifters [1,47]. However, despite a few longitudinal observations studying the relationship between resistance training and chronic cardiac adaptation in healthy untrained subjects using cardiac imaging, some methodological limitations in terms of assessing muscle strength gain and controlling the intensity of the strength training program may have limited the interpretation of exercise-induced cardiac response [14,15,48]. The majority of these studies have only assessed muscle strength gain using the 1-RM technique. Although 1-RM is a reproducible measurement, it may overestimate muscle strength gain, particularly for higher strength levels, when compared to the gold-standard isokinetic evaluation [49]. Therefore, it could bias the interpretation of the effectiveness of a strength-training program and its impact on cardiovascular properties. The findings of this study are in line with previous research. Our results of the 1-RM technique revealed that the average increase in muscle strength was at least 40% for the leg curl and up to 106% for the inclined press, despite only a 30% gain being observed with isokinetic evaluation.

To achieve rapid muscle strength gains over the study period, we developed a specialized strength training program for our untrained participants. The program involved alternating between concentric, eccentric, and isometric resistance exercise phases performed at high loads and maintaining a constant volume of three training sessions per week, without any rest periods throughout the program. This training mode and intensity have previously been shown to be effective in stimulating muscle strength gains after only 12 weeks of training [20,50–52]. Our results confirmed that the maximum muscle strength of the quadriceps and hamstrings significantly increased among untrained individuals after 12 weeks of training, with lesser gains observed between weeks 12 and 20. This result was expected as our protocol was biphasic with a shorter second training period, and strength training among untrained individuals leads to rapid initial strength gains followed by a slowed progression as the muscle adapts [53,54]. In contrast, concerning the 1-RM technique, the majority of 1-RM loads significantly increased after only four weeks of training, except for the bench press and pull over tests, which reached significance after eight weeks of training. Early increases in muscle strength after only two to four weeks of heavy strength training were previously linked to neuromuscular and connective tissue adaptations [53,55]. The 1-RM evaluations progressively increased throughout the study training protocol, which was supervised to allow for continuous and individualized adaptation of loads to maintain high-intensity training. The absence of a recovery period during the protocol did not seem to affect the safety of our high-intensity strength training program, as no musculoskeletal injuries were reported and over 80% of participants completed the training with an average of 59.8 training sessions out of the 60 sessions planned in the study protocol. In comparison, a longitudinal study by Spence *et al.* [14,56] analyzing

cardiac adaptation in a small group of untrained young men undergoing either resistance or endurance training for 24 weeks showed a small trend toward a biventricular eccentric remodeling in strength athletes. The authors reported only a 19.2% increase in the 1-RM bench press and 55% in the squat exercise. As pointed out by the authors, the intensity of the strength-training program was questionable as it involved a first low-intensity 12-week preparatory phase with exercise performed at low volume and load and interspersed with weeks of recovery [14,56]. This raises the question of whether higher-intensity strength training would have resulted in greater cardiac physiological adaptation in the resistance-trained subjects. Moreover, to ensure that participants did not perform unseen sustained endurance exercises during our study that could affect the cardiac response, measurements of maximal oxygen consumption were performed before and after the training program. Specific strength training does not significantly improve aerobic fitness, unlike endurance exercise [57].

CONCLUSIONS

The present study protocol has provided evidence supporting the efficacy of our weightlifting training program in increasing maximal muscle strength among untrained healthy young males over 20 weeks. This will enable us to further explore the physiological relationship between exercise-induced cardiac response and muscle strength gain in weightlifters through a comprehensive cardiovascular evaluation using ECG, TTE, and CPET. Moreover, the findings of this study have the potential to contribute to the development of research protocols aimed at deepening our understanding of the physiology of strength athletes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For this study, AH received funds from the CHU de Caen NORMANDIE (Appel à Projet Interne), and the Société Française de Cardiologie (Bourse de recherche "Sport et Cardiologie"). We thank the Centre de Recherche Clinique of the CHU de Caen NORMANDIE and the University of Caen NORMANDIE for administrative and technical support.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The authors confirm that the data supporting the results presented in the study are available within the article. The data sets generated during the study protocol are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

AUTHORS'S CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: JD, AD, FT, ER, AG, and AH; methodology: NP, JD, JJP, AR, AD, FT, HN, ER, AG, and AH; formal analysis: NP, JD, HA, KJ, AG, and AH; investigation: NP, JD, HA, MR, MM, AL, KL, HG, PO, ES, ER, AG, and AH; data curation: AR and AH; writing-original draft preparation: NP and AH; writing-review and editing: JD, PO, ES, HN, ER, AG, and AH; supervision: AG and AH; project administration; AH; funding acquisition: AH. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: None declared.

REFERENCES:

1. Haykowsky MJ, Samuel TJ, Nelson MD, La Gerche A. Athlete's Heart: Is the Morganroth Hypothesis Obsolete? *Heart Lung Circ* 2018 Sep;27(9):1037–1041. PMID:29773412
2. Morganroth J, Maron BJ, Henry WL, Epstein SE. Comparative left ventricular dimensions in trained athletes. *Ann Intern Med* 1975 Apr;82(4):521–524. PMID:1119766
3. Morganroth J, Maron BJ. The athlete's heart syndrome: a new perspective. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1977;301:931–941. PMID:145202
4. Haykowsky M, Taylor D, Teo K, Quinney A, Humen D. Left ventricular wall stress during leg-press exercise performed with a brief Valsalva maneuver. *Chest* 2001 Jan;119(1):150–154. PMID:11157597
5. Utomi V, Oxborough D, Whyte GP, Somauroo J, Sharma S, Shave R, Atkinson G, George K. Systematic review and meta-analysis of training mode, imaging modality and body size influences on the morphology and function of the male athlete's heart. *Heart* 2013 Dec;99(23):1727–1733. PMID:23474689
6. Haykowsky MJ, Dressendorfer R, Taylor D, Mandic S, Humen D. Resistance training and cardiac hypertrophy: unravelling the training effect. *Sports Med* 2002;32(13):837–849. PMID:12392444
7. Baggish AL, Weiner RB, Kanayama G, Hudson JI, Lu MT, Hoffmann U, Pope HG. Cardiovascular Toxicity of Illicit Anabolic-Androgenic Steroid Use. *Circulation* 2017 May 23;135(21):1991–2002. PMID:28533317
8. Grandperrin A, Schuster I, Moronval P, Izem O, Rupp T, Obert P, Nottin S. Anabolic Steroids Use Is Associated with Impairments in Atrial and Ventricular Cardiac Structure and Performance in Athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2022 May 1;54(5):780–788. PMID:34974501

9. Sheehan F, Redington A. The right ventricle: anatomy, physiology and clinical imaging. *Heart* 2008 Nov;94(11):1510–1515. PMID:18931164
10. D'Andrea A, Riegler L, Morra S, Scarafile R, Salerno G, Cocchia R, Golia E, Martone F, Di Salvo G, Limongelli G, Pacileo G, Bossone E, Calabrò R, Russo MG. Right ventricular morphology and function in top-level athletes: a three-dimensional echocardiographic study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2012 Dec;25(12):1268–1276. PMID:22898244
11. D'Ascenzi F, Pisicchio C, Caselli S, Di Paolo FM, Spataro A, Pelliccia A. RV Remodeling in Olympic Athletes. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10(4):385–393. PMID:27544901
12. Baggish AL, Wang F, Weiner RB, Elinoff JM, Tournoux F, Boland A, Picard MH, Hutter AM, Wood MJ. Training-specific changes in cardiac structure and function: a prospective and longitudinal assessment of competitive athletes. *J Appl Physiol* 2008 Apr;104(4):1121–1128. PMID:18096751
13. Hodzic A, Bernardino G, Legallois D, Gendron P, Langet H, De Craene M, González Ballester MA, Milliez P, Normand H, Bijmens B, Saloux E, Tournoux F. Right Ventricular Global and Regional Remodeling in American-Style Football Athletes: A Longitudinal 3D Echocardiographic Study. *Applied Sciences* 2021 Apr 8;11(8):3357. doi: 10.3390/app11083357
14. Spence AL, Carter HH, Murray CP, Oxborough D, Naylor LH, George KP, Green DJ. Magnetic resonance imaging-derived right ventricular adaptations to endurance versus resistance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013 Mar;45(3):534–541. PMID:23073215
15. Scharf M, Oezdemir D, Schmid A, Kemmler W, von Stengel S, May MS, Uder M, Lell MM. Myocardial adaption to HI(R)T in previously untrained men with a randomized, longitudinal cardiac MR imaging study (Physical adaptations in Untrained on Strength and Heart trial, PUSH-

- trial). PLoS One 2017;12(12):e0189204. PMID:29216285
16. Pelliccia A, Maron BJ, Culasso F, Spataro A, Caselli G. Athlete's heart in women. Echocardiographic characterization of highly trained elite female athletes. JAMA 1996 Jul 17;276(3):211–215. PMID:8667565
 17. Sun B, Ma JZ, Yong YH, Lv YY. The upper limit of physiological cardiac hypertrophy in elite male and female athletes in China. Eur J Appl Physiol 2007 Nov;101(4):457–463. PMID:17661070
 18. Whyte GP, George K, Nevill A, Shave R, Sharma S, McKenna WJ. Left ventricular morphology and function in female athletes: a meta-analysis. Int J Sports Med 2004 Jul;25(5):380–383. PMID:15241719
 19. Haykowsky M, Chan S, Bhambhani Y, Syrotuik D, Quinney H, Bell G. Effects of combined endurance and strength training on left ventricular morphology in male and female rowers. Can J Cardiol 1998 Mar;14(3):387–391. PMID:9551033
 20. Sale D, MacDougall D. Specificity in strength training: a review for the coach and athlete. Can J Appl Sport Sci 1981 Jun;6(2):87–92. PMID:7016357
 21. DuBOIS D. FIFTH PAPER THE MEASUREMENT OF THE SURFACE AREA OF MAN. Arch Intern Med 1915 May 1;XV(5_2):868. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1915.00070240077005
 22. Bosy-Westphal A, Schautz B, Later W, Kehayias JJ, Gallagher D, Müller MJ. What makes a BIA equation unique? Validity of eight-electrode multifrequency BIA to estimate body composition in a healthy adult population. Eur J Clin Nutr 2013 Jan;67 Suppl 1:S14-21. PMID:23299866
 23. Gleeson NP, Mercer TH. The utility of isokinetic dynamometry in the assessment of human

- muscle function. *Sports Med* 1996 Jan;21(1):18–34. PMID:8771283
24. Nitschke JE. Reliability of isokinetic torque measurements: A review of the literature. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 1992 Jan 1;38(2):125–134. doi: 10.1016/S0004-9514(14)60557-2
 25. Sole G, Hamrén J, Milosavljevic S, Nicholson H, Sullivan SJ. Test-Retest Reliability of Isokinetic Knee Extension and Flexion. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2007 May 1;88(5):626–631. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2007.02.006
 26. Schoenfeld BJ, Grgic J, Ogborn D, Krieger JW. Strength and Hypertrophy Adaptations Between Low- vs. High-Load Resistance Training: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J Strength Cond Res* 2017 Dec;31(12):3508–3523. PMID:28834797
 27. Impellizzeri FM, Bizzini M, Rampinini E, Cereda F, Maffiuletti NA. Reliability of isokinetic strength imbalance ratios measured using the Cybex NORM dynamometer. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2008 Mar;28(2):113–119. PMID:18070123
 28. van Melick N, Meddeler BM, Hoogeboom TJ, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MWG, van Cingel REH. How to determine leg dominance: The agreement between self-reported and observed performance in healthy adults. *PLoS One* 2017 Dec 29;12(12):e0189876. PMID:29287067
 29. Luoto S, Hupli M, Alaranta H, Hurri H. Isokinetic performance capacity of trunk muscles. Part II: Coefficient of variation in isokinetic measurement in maximal effort and in submaximal effort. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1996 Dec 1;28(4):207–210. PMID:9122648
 30. Magnusson SP, Geismar RA, Gleim GW, Nicholas JA. The Effect of Stabilization on Isokinetic Knee Extension and Flexion Torque Production. *J Athl Train* 1993;28(3):221–225. PMID:16558235

31. Drigny J, Ferrandez C, Gauthier A, Guermont H, Praz C, Reboursière E, Hulet C. Knee strength symmetry at 4 months is associated with criteria and rates of return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2022 Feb 12;101646. PMID:35167984
32. Fillyaw M, Bevins T, Fernandez L. Importance of correcting isokinetic peak torque for the effect of gravity when calculating knee flexor to extensor muscle ratios. *Phys Ther* 1986 Jan;66(1):23–31. PMID:3941824
33. Maffiuletti NA, Bizzini M, Desbrosses K, Babault N, Munzinger U. Reliability of knee extension and flexion measurements using the Con-Trex isokinetic dynamometer. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2007 Nov;27(6):346–353. PMID:17944656
34. Mandalidis DG, O'Brien M. Isokinetic strength of the elbow flexors with the forearm in supination and in the neutral position. *Isokinetics and Exercise Science* IOS Press; 2001 Jan 1;9(2–3):111–117. doi: 10.3233/IES-2001-0074
35. Noh J-W, Park B-S, Kim M-Y, Lee L-K, Yang S-M, Lee W-D, Shin Y-S, Kim J-H, Lee J-U, Kwak T-Y, Lee T-H, Kim J-Y, Park J, Kim J. Analysis of isokinetic muscle strength for sports physiotherapy research in Korean ssireum athletes. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2015 Oct;27(10):3223–3226. PMID:26644679
36. El-Ashker S, Chaabene H, Prieske O. Maximal isokinetic elbow and knee flexor–extensor strength measures in combat sports athletes: the role of movement velocity and limb side. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2022 Mar 16;14(1):40. doi: 10.1186/s13102-022-00432-2
37. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Goldstein SA, Kuznetsova T, Lancellotti P, Muraru D, Picard MH, Rietzschel ER, Rudski L,

- Spencer KT, Tsang W, Voigt J-U. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2015 Jan;28(1):1-39.e14. PMID:25559473
38. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, Flachskampf FA, Gillebert TC, Klein AL, Lancellotti P, Marino P, Oh JK, Alexandru Popescu B, Waggoner AD, Houston, Texas; Oslo, Norway; Phoenix, Arizona; Nashville, Tennessee; Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; Uppsala, Sweden; Ghent and Liège, Belgium; Cleveland, Ohio; Novara, Italy; Rochester, Minnesota; Bucharest, Romania; and St. Louis, Missouri. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016 Dec;17(12):1321–1360. PMID:27422899
39. Kossaiy A. Echocardiographic Assessment of the Right Ventricle, from the Conventional Approach to Speckle Tracking and Three-Dimensional Imaging, and Insights into the “Right Way” to Explore the Forgotten Chamber. *Clin Med Insights Cardiol* 2015;9:65–75. PMID:26244034
40. Hodzic A, Garcia D, Saloux E, Ribeiro PAB, Ethier A, Thomas JD, Milliez P, Normand H, Tournoux F. Echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular untwisting-filling interplay. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2020 Feb 19;18(1):8. PMID:32075637
41. Hodzic A, Bonnefous O, Langet H, Hamiche W, Chaufourier L, Tournoux F, Milliez P, Normand H, Saloux E. Analysis of inter-system variability of systolic and diastolic intraventricular pressure gradients derived from color Doppler M-mode echocardiography. *Sci Rep* 2020 Apr 28;10(1):7180. PMID:32346030

42. Binder RK, Wonisch M, Corra U, Cohen-Solal A, Vanhees L, Saner H, Schmid J-P. Methodological approach to the first and second lactate threshold in incremental cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil* 2008 Dec;15(6):726–734. PMID:19050438
43. Phillips SM, Winett RA. Uncomplicated resistance training and health-related outcomes: evidence for a public health mandate. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 2010;9(4):208–213. PMID:20622538
44. Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, Franklin BA, Lamonte MJ, Lee I-M, Nieman DC, Swain DP, American College of Sports Medicine. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults: guidance for prescribing exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011 Jul;43(7):1334–1359. PMID:21694556
45. Carbone S, Kirkman DL, Garten RS, Rodriguez-Miguel P, Artero EG, Lee D-C, Lavie CJ. Muscular Strength and Cardiovascular Disease: AN UPDATED STATE-OF-THE-ART NARRATIVE REVIEW. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2020 Sep;40(5):302–309. PMID:32796492
46. Kaminsky LA, Lavie CJ, Flint K, Arena R, Bond S, HL-PIVOT Network. Working Toward Optimal Exercise Prescription: Strength Training Should Not Be Overlooked. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2022 Mar 1;42(2):E32–E33. PMID:35213873
47. Lentini AC, McKelvie RS, McCartney N, Tomlinson CW, MacDougall JD. Left ventricular response in healthy young men during heavy-intensity weight-lifting exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1993 Dec;75(6):2703–2710. PMID:8125893

48. Kanakis C, Hickson RC. Left ventricular responses to a program of lower-limb strength training. *Chest* 1980 Oct;78(4):618–621. PMID:6448133
49. Feiereisen P, Vaillant M, Eischen D, Delagardelle C. Isokinetic versus one-repetition maximum strength assessment in chronic heart failure. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2010 Dec;42(12):2156–2163. PMID:20421831
50. Roig M, O'Brien K, Kirk G, Murray R, McKinnon P, Shadgan B, Reid WD. The effects of eccentric versus concentric resistance training on muscle strength and mass in healthy adults: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2009 Aug;43(8):556–568. PMID:18981046
51. Lasevicius T, Ugrinowitsch C, Schoenfeld BJ, Roschel H, Tavares LD, De Souza EO, Laurentino G, Tricoli V. Effects of different intensities of resistance training with equated volume load on muscle strength and hypertrophy. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2018 Jul;18(6):772–780. PMID:29564973
52. DE Camargo JBB, Brigatto FA, Zaroni RS, Trindade TB, Germano MD, Junior ACT, DE Oliveira TP, Marchetti PH, Prestes J, Lopes CR. Manipulating Resistance Training Variables to Induce Muscle Strength and Hypertrophy: A Brief Narrative Review. *Int J Exerc Sci* 2022;15(4):910–933. PMID:36157335
53. Sale DG. Neural adaptation to resistance training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1988 Oct;20(5 Suppl):S135-145. PMID:3057313
54. Wernbom M, Augustsson J, Thomeé R. The influence of frequency, intensity, volume and mode of strength training on whole muscle cross-sectional area in humans. *Sports Med* 2007;37(3):225–264. PMID:17326698

55. Seynnes OR, de Boer M, Narici MV. Early skeletal muscle hypertrophy and architectural changes in response to high-intensity resistance training. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 2007 Jan;102(1):368–373. PMID:17053104
56. Spence AL, Naylor LH, Carter HH, Buck CL, Dembo L, Murray CP, Watson P, Oxborough D, George KP, Green DJ. A prospective randomised longitudinal MRI study of left ventricular adaptation to endurance and resistance exercise training in humans. *J Physiol (Lond)* 2011 Nov 15;589(Pt 22):5443–5452. PMID:21969450
57. Hughes DC, Ellefsen S, Baar K. Adaptations to Endurance and Strength Training. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2018 Jun;8(6):a029769. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a029769

ABBREVIATIONS:

- 1-RM:** One-repetition maximum
- 2D:** Two-dimensional
- 3D:** Three-dimensional
- BMI:** Body mass index
- BP:** Blood pressure
- CPET:** Cardiopulmonary exercise testing
- ECG:** Electrocardiogram
- HR:** Heart rate
- LV:** Left ventricle
- Nm:** Newton.meter
- NPCRA:** No Parametric Circadians Rhythms Analysis
- RM:** Repetition maximum
- RV:** Right ventricle
- TIB:** Time in bed
- TST:** Total sleep time
- TTE:** Transthoracic echocardiography
- $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$:** Maximum oxygen uptake

FIGURE LEGENDS:


Figure 1: Study design

Figure 2: Graphic illustration of the 20-week strength training program involving cyclic concentric, eccentric, and isometric high-intensity exercises, and 1-RM testing for progressive intensity adjustment.


Figure 3: Setup for isokinetic testing of the knee (A) and the elbow (B) flexors and extensors in the seated position.

Preprint
JMIR Publications


Supplementary Files




Figures



Study design.



Graphic illustration of the 20-week strength training program involving cyclic concentric, eccentric, and isometric high-intensity exercises, and 1-RM testing for progressive intensity adjustment.



Setup for isokinetic testing of the knee (A) and the elbow (B) flexors and extensors in the seated position.

